

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/607,514 06/26/2003		Daniel A. Wilson	19947-6A	6021	
24256	7590	08/04/2006		EXAMINER	
DINSMOR		•	GRAY, JILL M		
1900 CHEMED CENTER 255 EAST FIFTH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45202				1774	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	10-
10/607,514	WILSON	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jill M. Gray	1774	

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Jill M. Gray	1774							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>									
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further of			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel		,,							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	jected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).									
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		e entered and an exp	lanation of how						
the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-40 and 44-50</u> .									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-40 and 44-50</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an									
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	,		•						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.						
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Applicants' proposed amendment and arguments have been considered but are not found to be persuasive to overcome the									
prior art rejection. In particular, the composition taught by the prior art is substantially similar to that claimed by applicants.  There is no clear factual evidence on this record, by way of back-to-back comparison that the substantially similar prior art									
composition does not result in a modulus within the instant claimed range.									
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper 1	46(2).							
13. Other:		-VIA							
		Jill M Gray Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1774							
		AIL OIIIL 1774							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060802